

Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

Comment on the q-deformed fermionic oscillator

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

1991 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 24 L891

(http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/24/16/002)

View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details: IP Address: 129.252.86.83 The article was downloaded on 01/06/2010 at 11:19

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

Comment on the q-deformed fermionic oscillator

Sicong Jing†§ and Jian Jun Xu‡

Centre for Particle Theory, Department of Physics, University of Durham, South Road, Durham DH1 3LE, UK
DAMTP, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB3 9EW, UK

Received 10 May 1991, in final form 19 June 1991

Abstract. The q-deformed fermionic oscillator is identified with the usual fermionic oscillator by virtue of a simple transformation. So the realization of quantum algebra $su_q(2)$ can also be constructed from the usual fermionic oscillator.

In recent years the development of the quantum inverse method and the study of solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation have led to the concept of quantum groups and algebras [1]. It was also found that the quantum groups have important applications in exactly solvable statistical models [2] and in 2D conformal field theories [3]. More recently, a new realization of the quantum algebra $su_q(2)$ has been obtained by introducing a q-analogue of the usual bosonic harmonic oscillator and making the Jordan-Schwinger mapping [4]. Naturally, besides the q-deformed bosonic oscillator, a q-deformed fermionic equivalent has also been introduced to construct the oscillator representation of the q-deformed superalgebras [5], some q-deformed classical Lie algebras A_{n-1} , B_n , C_n and D_n [6], and quantum exceptional algebras [7].

In this letter, we present an explicit proof to show that the well known q-deformed fermionic oscillator is nothing but the usual fermionic oscillator. Of course, using the standard techniques of contraction of Lie algebras and group theory, one can derive the q-oscillator algebras, both bonsonic and fermionic, from the corresponding quantum algebras [8]. Different contractions of simplest rank-one quantum Lie (super)algebras $sl_q(2)$ and $sp_q(1/2)$ give different generalizations of the harmonic oscillator, i.e. q-deformed oscillators. The q-deformed fermionic oscillator, discussed in this letter, is the most commonly accepted one by various authors [5-7, 9].

We start from the most commonly accepted definition of the q-deformed fermionic oscillator

$$\tilde{a}\tilde{a}^{+}+q\tilde{a}^{+}\tilde{a}=q^{\tilde{N}} \tag{1}$$

$$\tilde{a}\tilde{a}^{+} + q^{-1}\tilde{a}^{+}\tilde{a} = q^{-\tilde{N}} \tag{2}$$

$$\tilde{a}^2 = \tilde{a}^{+2} = 0. \tag{3}$$

§ On leave of absence from: Department of Modern Physics, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230026, Anhui, People's Republic of China.

|| On leave of absence from: Department of Physics, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, People's Republic of China.

0305-4470/91/160891+04\$03.50 © 1991 IOP Publishing Ltd

where \tilde{a} , \tilde{a}^+ and \tilde{N} are the q-deformed fermionic annihilation, creation and number operators, respectively, which also satisfy

$$[\tilde{N}, \tilde{a}] = -\tilde{a} \qquad [\tilde{N}, \tilde{a}^+] = -\tilde{a}^+.$$
(4)

From (1) and (2), it follows that

$$[\tilde{N}] = \frac{q^{\tilde{N}} - q^{-\tilde{N}}}{q - q^{-1}} = \tilde{a}^{+} \tilde{a}.$$
(5)

Considering the following transformation

$$a = q^{-\tilde{N}/2}\tilde{a}$$
 $a^+ = \tilde{a}^+ q^{-\bar{N}/2}$ (6)

it is obvious that

$$[\tilde{N}, a] = -a \qquad [\tilde{N}, a^+] = a^+ \tag{7}$$

which implies

 $q^{\tilde{N}/2}aq^{-\tilde{N}/2} = q^{-1/2}a$ $q^{\tilde{N}/2}a^+q^{-\tilde{N}/2} = q^{1/2}a^+.$

Thus from (1) and (3) we obtain

$$aa^+ + a^+a = 1$$
 $a^2 = a^{+2} = 0.$ (8)

This means that a and a^+ are the usual fermionic oscillator. Now, we define a new operator

$$N \equiv a^+ a, \tag{9}$$

From (7), we have

$$N^2 = N \tag{10}$$

and

$$[N, a] = -a \qquad [N, a^+] = a^+. \tag{11}$$

Using (6) we can rewrite $[\tilde{N}]$ as

$$[\tilde{N}] = a^+ q^{\tilde{N}} a = q^{\tilde{N}-1} a^+ a = q^{\tilde{N}-1} N$$

or

$$\frac{1-q^{-2\bar{N}}}{1-q^{-2}} = N.$$
 (12)

Equation (12) leads to

$$-2\tilde{N} = \frac{1}{\ln q} \ln[1 - (1 - q^{-2})N]$$
(13)

where the right-hand side of (13) is understood as the series expansion of ln(1-x). Because of (10), we have

$$-2\tilde{N} = \frac{1}{\ln q} \left[(q^{-2} - 1)N - \frac{1}{2}(q^{-2} - 1)^2 N + \frac{1}{3}(q^{-2} - 1)^3 N - \dots \right]$$
$$= \frac{N}{\ln q} \ln(1 + q^{-2} - 1) = -2N.$$
(14)

It follows from (14) that

$$\tilde{N} = N. \tag{15}$$

Also from (10), it is easy to see

$$q^{N} = 1 + (q-1)N. (16)$$

Thus the transformation (6) becomes

$$\tilde{a} = q^{N/2} a = q^{N/2} a = [1 + (q^{1/2} - 1)N]a = a + (q^{1/2} - 1)Na = a$$
(17)

and similarly,

$$\tilde{a}^+ = a^+. \tag{17'}$$

Equations (17) and (17') clearly show that the so-called 'q-deformed fermionic oscillator', defined by (1) and (3), is nothing but the usual fermionic oscillator.

Substituting the transformation (6) into (2) gives

$$aa^{+} + q^{-2}a^{+}a = q^{-2\hat{N}} = q^{-2N}.$$
(18)

Also from (16), we can rewrite (18) as

$$aa^+ + q^{-2}a^+a = 1 - N + q^{-2}N = 1 - a^+a + q^{-2}a^+a$$

which leads again to

$$aa^+ + a^+a = 1.$$

Conversely, we can also rewrite the usual fermionic oscillator in terms of the q-deformed formula:

$$aa^{+} + qa^{+}a = 1 - N + qN = q^{N}$$
⁽¹⁹⁾

and

$$aa^{+} + q^{-1}a^{+}a = 1 - N + q^{-1}N = q^{-N}.$$
(20)

It tells us again that there is no difference between the q-deformed and the usual fermionic oscillator.

Another result from (16) is

$$[N] = \frac{q^{N} - q^{-N}}{q - q^{-1}} = \frac{1 + (q - 1)N - 1 - (q^{-1} - 1)N}{q - q^{-1}} = N$$
(21)

which is just our expectation.

As an application of the above discussion, we give the Jordan-Schwinger realization of $SU_a(2)$ using two independent usual fermionic oscillators:

$$J_{+} = a_{1}^{+}a_{2} \qquad J_{-} = a_{2}^{+}a_{1} \qquad J_{0} = \frac{1}{2}(a_{1}^{+}a_{1} - a_{2}^{+}a_{2})$$
(22)

which satisfy the quantum commutation relations:

$$[J_{+}, J_{-}] = [2J_{0}] = \frac{q^{2J_{0}} - q^{-2J_{0}}}{q - q^{-1}}$$
$$[J_{0}, J_{\pm}] = \pm J_{\pm}.$$
 (23)

We can also construct the Jordan-Schwinger realization of $SU_q(2)$ from the one-mode usual fermionic oscillator only:

$$J_{+} = a^{+}$$
 $J_{-} = a$ $J_{0} = a^{+}a - \frac{1}{2}$ (24)

which again obey the quantum algebra (23). This fact means that the usual fermionic oscillator can also be used to realize the quantum algebra $SU_q(2)$.

L894 Letter to the Editor

A few words need to be said as a conclusion. The fact discussed in this letter is similar to the 2×2 representation of SU(2), where the usual generators satisfy both the SU_q(2) commutation relations and the classical SU(2) relations. As far as we know, Ng first noticed the relation between the q-deformed and the usual fermionic oscillator [10], but his argument used a precondition that the number operator of the q-deformed fermionic oscillator can take on values 0 and 1 only. In this letter, our proof only starts from the most commonly accepted definition of the q-deformed fermionic oscillator and does not use any other preconditions. It is possible to construct representations of quantum exceptional algebras from the usual fermionic oscillator only. Work in this direction is in progress.

One of the authors (SJ) is grateful to D Fairlie, F Cuypers, E Corrigan and T Sudbery for useful discussions.

References

- Drinfeld V G 1987 Proc. Int. Congr. Mathematics (1986) vol 1 (Berkely, CA: University of California Press) p 798
- Jimbo M 1986 Lett. Math. Phys. 11 247
- [2] de Vega H J 1989 Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 4 2371
- [3] Alvarez-Gaume L, Gomez C and Sierra G 1989 Nucl. Phys. B 319 155
- [4] Biedenharn L C 1989 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 22 L873
 Macfarlane A J 1989 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 22 4581
 Sun C-P and Fu H-C 1989 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 22 L983
- [5] Hayashi T 1990 Commun. Math. Phys. 127 129
- [6] Chaichian M and Kulish P 1990 Phys. Lett. B 234 72
- [7] Frappat L, Sorba P and Sciarrino A 1991 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 24 L179
- [8] Schwinger J 1968 Harvard University Lectures (unpublished) Chaichian M and Kulish P 1990 Preprint CERN-TH.5969/90
- [9] Chaichian M, Ellinas D and Kulish P 1990 Phys. Rev. Lett. 65 980
- [10] Ng Y J 1990 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 23 1023